



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,771	04/06/2001	Gary Seim	1275.10US01	7354
7590 12/23/2003		EXAMINER		
Mark A. Hollingsworth CRAWFORD PLLC 1270 Northland Drive,			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 390 Mendota Heights, MN 55120		3742		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/872,771	WEIRICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel I. Robinson	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted and the period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will be period for reply will be statuted the perio	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,5-12,16-23 and 27-30 is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-4,13-15 and 24-26 is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	ed. to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Zammor. Note the attached Since	77101011 01 1011111 10 102.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language point 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s)	nts have been received. Into have been received in Applicate ority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not receive thic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(inst sentence of the specification or in an Application	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. o and/or 121 since a specific on Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6				

Application/Control Number: 09/872,771

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10-12, 21-23 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Levine et al.(U.S.Pat.6,058,328). Levine discloses a method of using implantable stimulation device having means for operating in a pre-emptive pacing mode to prevent tachyarrhythmias that shows all the features of the claimed invention figs. 2-4, and 7-8, and the abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8, 16-19 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Shelton(U.S.Pat.5,836,989). Levine does not explicitly show a re-start based on an elapsed first or second time duration time. Shelton discloses a method and apparatus for controlling an implantable medical device in a time-dependent manner that shows explicitly, figs.1-4, cols. 9-11 and the abstract, the re-enablement of a therapy base on any given time duration combination. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 3742

the claimed invention to use the time dependence of therapy so as to permit their comparison and evaluation in a patient.

Claims 9, 20 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine in view of Bennet et al. (U.S.Pat.5,213,098). Levine does not explicitly show a manual induced signal. Bennet discloses a post-extrasystolic potentiation stimulation with sensor feedback that shows a manually adjustable PESP, fig. 7 cols. 17-20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a manual induced signal as taught by Bennet with the device of Levine so an over ride is provided in the automated system.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 13-15 and 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sholder, Bornzin, Sholder'895, and Armstrong are cited to show structure and methods similar to the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel 1. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0861.

dlr

PANIEL ROBINSON PATENT EXAMINED